arXiv:1207.2962v2 [math.RA] 19 Sep 2012

COHOMOLOGICAL APPROACH TO THE GRADED BEREZINIAN

TIFFANY COVOLO

Abstract. We develop the theory of linear algebra over a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutative algebra ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), which includes the well-known super linear algebra as a special case ($n = 1$). Examples of such graded-commutative algebras are the Clifford algebras, in particular the quaternion algebra $\mathbb{H}$. Following a cohomological approach, we introduce analogues of the notions of trace and determinant. Our construction reduces in the classical commutative case to the coordinate-free description of the determinant by means of the action of invertible matrices on the top exterior power, and in the supercommutative case it coincides with the well-known cohomological interpretation of the Berezinian.

Introduction

Remarkable series of algebras, such as the algebra of quaternions and, more generally, *Clifford algebras* turn out to be graded-commutative. Originated in [AM99] and [AM02], this idea was developed in [MGO09] and [MGO10]. The grading group in this case is $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$, where n is the number of generators, and the graded commutativity reads as

$$a \cdot b = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} \rangle} b \cdot a \tag{1}$$

where $\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$ denote the degrees of the respective homogeneous elements a and b, and $\langle ., . \rangle : (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the standard scalar product of binary $(n+1)$-vectors (see Section 1). This choice of the graded commutativity has various motivations. First, it is the intuitive extension of the well-known superalgebra, which corresponds to this $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutativity for $n = 1$, $\langle . , . \rangle$ being in this case just classical multiplication. Secondly, it was proved in [MGO10] that such $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutativity is universal among graded-commutative algebras. That is, *if Γ is a finitely generated Abelian group, then for an arbitrary Γ-graded-commutative algebra A with graded commutativity of the form $a \cdot b = (-1)^{\beta(\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b})} b \cdot a$, with $\beta : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ a bilinear symmetric map, it exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A is $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutative (in the sense of (1)).*

First steps towards the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-graded version of linear algebra were done in [COP11]. The notion of graded trace for all endomorphisms and that of graded Berezinian for 0-degree automorphisms were introduced in the most general framework of an arbitrary free module (of finite rank) over a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutative algebra.

In this paper, we develop a cohomological approach to the notion of graded Berezinian and graded trace. In the super case, this approach is originally due to O. V. Ogievetskii and I. B. Penkov ([OP84]), but we will mostly refer to the description given in [Man88]. Similarly to this latter, we define a graded analogue of the Koszul complex and the graded Berezinian module associated to a given free module of finite rank. We believe this to be the first step towards the conception of a generalization of the Berezinian integral over a possible multi-graded (i.e. $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-graded) manifold.

The paper is organized as follows. We recall the basic notions of graded linear algebra in Section 1 and derive the graded matrix calculus in Section 2. In Section 3, we present our first main result, a cohomological interpretation of the graded Berezinian. In Section 4, we give a

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W50, 17A70, 11R52, 15A15, 15A66, 16E40.

Key words and phrases. Quaternions, Clifford algebra, graded linear algebra, generalized determinant.

similar description of the graded trace. It is worth noticing that the cohomological description of the graded trace of *arbitrary even matrices* lead to interesting restrictions for the grading group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, namely n has to be *odd*. Furthermore, the parity changing operator has to be chosen in a canonical way and corresponds to the element $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$.

We have to note that there is an alternative approach to the generalization of superalgebras and related notions, which makes use of category theory. This approach follows from results by Scheunert in [Sch79] (in the Lie algebras setting) and Nekludova (in the commutative algebra setting). An explicit description of the results of the latter can be found in [BLS]. This second method to treat the problem of generalizing the theory of superalgebras, and its consequences in the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutative case, will be the object of a separate work.

Acknowledgments. The author is pleased to thank Norbert Poncin whose suggestions initiate the paper, Jean-Philippe Michel for enlightening discussions and Dimitry Leites who made her aware of Nekludova's work. The author is grateful to Valentin Ovsienko for the contributions he gave through the development and the finalization of the paper.

The author thanks the Luxembourgian NRF for support via AFR PhD grant 2010-1 786207.

1. GRADED LINEAR ALGEBRA

In this section, we give a brief survey of the main notions of linear algebra over graded-commutative algebras.

Consider an Abelian group $(\Gamma, +)$ endowed with a symmetric bi-additive map

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \,.$$

We call Γ the *grading group*. This group admits a natural splitting $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \oplus \Gamma_1$, where Γ_0 is the subgroup characterized by $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$, and where Γ_1 is the set characterized by $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = 1$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. We call Γ_0 and Γ_1 the *even subgroup* and *odd part*, respectively.

In this paper, we restrict the considerations to the case $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$, for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, equipped with the standard scalar product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} x_i y_i$$

of n-vectors, defined over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Our main example are the Clifford algebras equipped with the grading described in Example 1 (see next section).

1.1. Graded Commutative Algebras.

A *graded vector space* is a direct sum

$$V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V^\gamma$$

of vector spaces V^γ over a commutative field $\mathbb{K}$ (that we always assume of characteristic 0). An *endomorphism* of V is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map from V to V that preserves the degree; we denote by $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$ the space of endomorphisms.

A Γ-*graded algebra* is an algebra A which has a structure of a Γ-graded vector space $A = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A^\gamma$ such that the operation of multiplication respects the grading:

$$A^\alpha A^\beta \subset A^{\alpha + \beta} \,, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \,.$$

We always assume A *associative* and *unital.*

An element $a \in A^\gamma$ is called *homogeneous of degree* γ. For every homogeneous element a, we denote by $\widetilde{a}$ its degree. Because of the even-odd splitting of the grading group, one also has

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_1,$$

where $a \in A_0$ or A_1 if $\widetilde{a}$ is even or odd, respectively. For simplicity, in most formulas below the involved elements are assumed to be homogeneous. These expressions are then extended to arbitrary elements by linearity.

A Γ-graded algebra is called Γ-*commutative* if

$$a\, b = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b} \rangle}\, b\, a. \tag{2}$$

In particular, every odd element squares to zero.

Example 1. *As we have mentioned in the Introduction, a Clifford algebra* Cl_n *of* n *generators (over* $\mathbb{R}$ *or* $\mathbb{C}$*) is a* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$*-commutative algebra. The grading is given on the generators* e_i *of* Cl_n *as follows*

$$\widetilde{e_i} = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots 0, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{n+1}$$

where 1 *is at the* i*-th and at the last position.*

1.2. Modules.

A *left module* M over a Γ-commutative algebra A is a graded vector space M together with a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map $\lambda : A \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(M)$ of degree zero such that

$$\lambda(a) \circ \lambda(b) = \lambda(ab) \quad \text{ and } \quad \lambda(1_A) = \mathrm{id}_M$$

where $a, b \in A$ and where 1_A denotes the unit of A; we often write $a\, m$ instead of $\lambda(a)(m)$, for $a \in A$, $m \in M$. The condition of degree zero for the map λ reads

$$A^\alpha M^\beta \subset M^{\alpha+\beta}\ , \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma\ .$$

The notion of *right* A*-module* is defined analogously. Thanks to the graded commutativity of A, a left A-module structure induces a compatible right A-module structure given by

$$m\, a := (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{a}, \widetilde{m} \rangle} a\, m\,, \tag{3}$$

and vice-versa. Hence, we identify the two concepts.

An A-module is called *free of total rank* $r \in \mathbb{N}$ if it admits a basis of r homogeneous elements $\{e_s\}_{s=1,\ldots,r}$. In this case, every element $m \in M$ can be written

$$m = \sum e_j a^j \quad \text{ or } \quad m = \sum b^i e_i\ , \quad a^j, b^i \in A$$

whenever one considers right, respectively left, coefficients. These coefficients are clearly related through the compatibility (3) of the right and left module structures.

A *morphism* of A-modules, is a map $\ell : M \to N$ which is A-linear of degree zero (i.e. $\ell(M^\alpha) \subset N^\alpha$, $\forall \alpha \in \Gamma$). We will denote the set of such maps by $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)$. We usually refer to this set as the categorical Hom since A-modules with these degree-preserving A-linear maps form a category $\mathtt{Gr}_\Gamma\mathtt{Mod}_A$.

We remark that this Hom set is not "internal" to the category $\mathtt{Gr}_\Gamma\mathtt{Mod}_A$, in the sense that it is not a graded A-module itself. The internal Hom is instead the A-module

$$\mathsf{Hom}_A(M, N) := \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathsf{Hom}_A^\gamma(M, N)\ ,$$

where each $\mathsf{Hom}_A^\gamma(M, N)$ consists of A-linear maps $\ell : M \to N$ of degree γ, that is

$$\ell(am) = (-1)^{\langle \gamma, \tilde{a} \rangle} a\, \ell(m) \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \ell(ma) = \ell(m)a \tag{4}$$

$$\text{and} \qquad \ell(M^\alpha) \subset N^{\alpha+\gamma}\,.$$

The A-module structure of $\mathsf{Hom}_A(M,N)$ is given by

$$(a\cdot\ell)(-) := (-1)^{\langle\widetilde{\ell},\widetilde{a}\rangle}\ell(a\cdot -) \quad \text{(or, equivalently, } (\ell\cdot a)(-) = \ell(a\cdot -)\text{)}\,. \tag{5}$$

Remark 1. The categorical Hom coincide with the 0-degree part of the internal Hom, i.e. $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,N) = \mathsf{Hom}^0_A(M,N)$. By abuse of notation, we also refer to the elements of the internal Hom as morphisms. To make clear the distinction between categorical and internal hom, we often add the adjective "graded" in the latter case.

We define graded endomorphisms and graded automorphisms of an A-module M by

$$\mathsf{End}_A(M) := \mathsf{Hom}_A(M,M) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{Aut}_A(M) := \{\ell\in\mathsf{End}_A(M)\ :\ \ell \text{ invertible }\}\,,$$

and their degree-preserving analogues by

$$\mathrm{End}_A(M) := \mathsf{End}^0_A(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Aut}_A(M) := \mathsf{Aut}^0_A(M)\,.$$

In situations where it is not misleading, we will drop the subscript and just write $\mathsf{Hom}(M,N)$, $\mathsf{End}(M)$, $\mathsf{Aut}(M)$, etc.

The *dual* of an A-module M is the A-module $M^* := \mathsf{Hom}(M,A)$. As for classical modules, if M is free with basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ then its dual module M^* is also free of same rank. Its basis $\{\varepsilon^i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ is defined as usual by

$$\varepsilon^i(e_j) = \delta^i_j\,, \quad \forall\, i,j$$

where δ^i_j is the Kronecker delta. Note that this implies $\widetilde{\varepsilon^i} = \widetilde{e_i}$ for all i.

1.3. **Lie algebras, Derivations.** A Γ*-graded Lie algebra* A is a Γ-graded algebra in which the multiplication operation (denoted $[\,\cdot\,,\cdot\,]$) verify the following two conditions, for all homogeneous elements $a,b,c\in A$.

1) Graded skew-symmetry:

$$[a,b] = -(-1)^{\langle\widetilde{a},\widetilde{b}\rangle}[b,a]\,;$$

2) Graded Jacoby identity:

$$[a,[b,c]] = [[a,b],c] + (-1)^{\langle\widetilde{a},\widetilde{b}\rangle}[b,[a,c]]\,.$$

In the literature, this notion also appears under the name "color (super) algebras" (see [Sch79]).

Natural examples of graded Lie algebras are Γ-graded associative algebras with the graded commutator

$$[a,b] = ab - (-1)^{\langle\widetilde{a},\widetilde{b}\rangle}ba\,. \tag{6}$$

If A is a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-graded Lie algebra, its 0-degree part A^0 is a classical Lie algebra.

An homogeneous *derivation* of degree γ of a Γ-graded algebra A over a field $\mathbb{K}$, is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map $D\in\mathsf{End}^\gamma_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ which verifies the *graded Leibniz rule*

$$D(ab) = D(a)\,b + (-1)^{\langle\widetilde{a},\gamma\rangle}a\,D(b)$$

for all homogeneous elements $a,b\in A$.

We denote the set of derivations of degree γ of A by $\mathrm{Der}^\gamma(A)$. Then, the set of all graded derivations of A

$$\mathrm{Der}(A) := \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\mathrm{Der}^\gamma(A)$$

is a Γ-graded vector space. It is also an A-module, with the A-module structure given by $(aD)(x) = a\,D(x)$. Moreover, considering composition of derivation, we have that $\mathrm{Der}(A)$ is a graded Lie algebra for the commutator (6).

1.4. **Graded Tensor and Symmetric Algebras.** The *tensor product* of two (graded) A-modules M and N can be defined as follows. Let us forget, for the moment, the graded structure of A, seeing it simply as a non-commutative ring, and consider M and N respectively as right and left modules. In this situation, the notion of tensor product is well-known (see [Kna06]), and the obtained object $M \otimes_A N$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Then, reconsidering the graded structure of the initial objects, we see that $M \otimes_A N$ admits an induced Γ-graded structure

$$M \otimes_A N = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (M \otimes N)^\gamma = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \bigoplus_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} \left\{ \sum m \otimes n \;\middle|\; m \in M^\alpha, n \in N^\beta \right\}.$$

Moreover, because of the actual two-sided module structure of both M and N, the resulting object $M \otimes_A N$ have also right and left A-module structures, which are by construction compatible (in the sense of (3)).

All classical results and constructions related to the tensor product can then be transferred to the graded case without major difficulties.

Let A be a Γ-commutative algebra, and M a free A-module. We define the *graded tensor algebra* as the associative graded A-algebra

$$T^\bullet_A M := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M^{\otimes k}$$

where, by convention, $M^{\otimes 0} := A$. The multiplication operation

$$\otimes_A : M^{\otimes r} \times M^{\otimes s} \to M^{\otimes (r+s)}$$

is not Γ-commutative. $T^\bullet_A M$ is in fact bi-graded: it has the classical $\mathbb{N}$-grading that we call *weight*, and an induced Γ-grading called *degree*.

Taking the quotient of $T^\bullet_A M$ by the ideal J_S generated by the elements of the form

$$m \otimes m' - (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{m'} \rangle} m' \otimes m, \quad \forall m, m' \in M$$

we obtain a Γ-commutative A-algebra $S^\bullet_A(M)$ called the *graded symmetric algebra*.

1.5. **Change of Parity Functors.** Unlike the usual superalgebra, we have many different parity changing functors. For every $\pi \in \Gamma_1$, we specify an endofunctor of the category of modules over a Γ-commutative algebra A

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Pi : & \mathtt{Gr}_\Gamma\mathtt{Mod}_A & \to & \mathtt{Gr}_\Gamma\mathtt{Mod}_A \\ & M & \mapsto & \Pi M \end{array}$$

defined on objects by

$$(\Pi M)^\alpha = M^{\alpha+\pi}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma,$$

with the module structure on ΠM given by

$$\Pi(m + m') := \Pi m + \Pi m' \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi(am) := (-1)^{\langle \pi, \widetilde{a} \rangle} a \, \Pi m,$$

for all $m, m' \in M$, $a \in A$. It is defined on morphisms $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(M, N)$ by

$$f^\Pi (\Pi m) := \Pi (f(m)) \,.$$

Clearly, the map Π which sends an A-module M to the A-module ΠM is an A-linear map of degree π, i.e. $\Pi \in \mathsf{Hom}^\pi(M, \Pi M)$.

2. Graded Matrix Calculus

A graded morphism $t : M \to N$ of free A-modules of total rank r and s respectively, can be represented by a matrix over A. Fixing a basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ of M and $\{e'_j\}_{j=1,\dots,s}$ of N and considering elements of the modules as column vectors of right coordinates

$$M \ni m = \sum e_j\, a^j \qquad \simeq \qquad \mathbf{m} = \begin{pmatrix} a^1 \\ a^2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

the graded morphism is defined by the images of the basis vectors

$$t(m) = \sum_j t(e_i)\, a^i = \sum_{i,j} e'_j\, t^j{}_i\, a^i$$

Hence, applying t corresponds to left multiplication by the matrix $T = (t^j{}_i) \in \mathsf{M}(s \times r; A)$,

$$t(m) \simeq T\mathbf{m}.$$

We have a similar description when considering elements of the modules as row vectors of left coordinates. In this paper, we choose the first approach. This choice is justified by the fact that the graded morphisms are easier to handle when one consider the right module structure, see (4).

2.1. Case $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$.

In the case we treat here, namely $\Gamma = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a nice notation for matrices. Indeed, $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ is a additive group of finite order $N := 2^n$ and we can enumerate its elements following the *standard order*: the first $q := 2^{n-1}$ elements being the even degrees ordered by lexicographical order, and the last ones being the remaining odd degrees, also ordered lexicographically. In the following, we denote γ_i the i-th element of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ with respect to this standard order.

This allows one to re-order the basis of the considered free A-modules following the degrees of the elements. We call a basis ordered in this way a *standard basis*. From now on, we only consider this type of basis.

The *rank* of a free module M over a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutative algebra is then a N-tuple $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ where each r_i is the number of basis elements of degree γ_i. Hence, a standard basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1,\dots,r}$ of a free A-module of rank $\mathbf{r}$ is such that the first r_1 elements are of degree γ_1, the following r_2 elements are of degree γ_2, etc.

Consequently, the matrix corresponding to an homogeneous graded morphism $t : M \to N$ of free A-modules of ranks $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{s}$ respectively, have a nice "internal structure": it is a block matrix

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} T_{11} & \dots & T_{1N} \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \hline T_{N1} & \dots & T_{NN} \end{array}\right), \tag{7}$$

where each block T_{uv} (of dimension $s_u \times r_v$) have homogeneous entries of the same degree. This latter is given by $\gamma_u + \gamma_v + \widetilde{t}$, i.e. it depends on both the position (u, v) of the block inside the matrix and the degree of the matrix, which is by definition the degree of the corresponding graded morphism t. We will denote by $\mathsf{M}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}; A) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n} \mathsf{M}^\gamma(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}; A)$ the space of such matrices, also called *graded matrices*.

Example 2. As a particular case of Clifford algebras, the algebra of quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$-commutative algebra. We assign to the generators 1, i, j and k of $\mathbb{H}$ a degree following the standard order of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$, i.e.

$$\widetilde{1} := (0,0,0)\ ,\quad \widetilde{\mathrm{i}} := (0,1,1)\ ,\quad \widetilde{\mathrm{j}} := (1,0,1) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{k}} := (1,1,0)\ .$$

Note that, as every other Clifford algebra (with the gradation given as in Example 1), it is purely even i.e. graded only by the even subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$.

Every endomorphism of a free $\mathbb{H}$-module M of rank $\mathbf{r}$ then corresponds to a matrix in $\mathsf{M}\,(\mathbf{r};\mathbb{H})$, that is a quaternionic matrix.

The 1-to-1 correspondence

$$\mathsf{M}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{r};A) \simeq \mathsf{Hom}^{\gamma}(M,N)\ ,\quad \forall \gamma \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n\ ,$$

permits to transfer the associative graded A-algebra structure of $\mathsf{Hom}(M,N)$ to $\mathsf{M}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{r};A)$. In this way, we get the usual sum and multiplication of matrices, as well as multiplication of matrices by scalars in A. The latter is not as trivial as one may think, and writes for any (i,j)-th entry lying in the (u,v)-block

$$(aT)^i_{\ j} = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{a}, u\rangle} a\, t^i_{\ j}\ .$$

The sign is a direct consequence of (5).

In particular, graded endomorphisms $\mathsf{End}(M)$ of a free A-module M (of finite rank $\mathbf{r}$) can be seen as square graded matrices $\mathsf{M}(\mathbf{r};A) := \mathsf{M}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r};A)$. The latter, with the commutator (6) is another example of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-graded Lie algebra.

2.2. **Graded Transpose.** As in the previous section, M and N are free modules of ranks $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{s}$ respectively, over a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutative algebra A.

The graded transpose ${}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}T$ of a matrix $T \in \mathsf{M}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{r};A)$, that corresponds to $t \in \mathsf{Hom}(M,N)$, is defined as the matrix corresponding to the transpose $t^* \in \mathsf{Hom}(N^*,M^*)$ of t. For simplicity, we suppose t to be homogeneous of degree $\widetilde{t}$.

We recall that the dual graded A-module of M is $M^* := \mathsf{Hom}(M,A)$, so that the dual morphism t^* is naturally defined, for all $n^* \in N^*$ and all $m \in M$, by

$$(t^*(n^*),m) = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{t},\widetilde{n^*}\rangle}(n^*,t(m)) \tag{8}$$

where $(-,-)$ denotes the evaluation of the involved morphisms on the corresponding source-module element.

Let $\{e_k\}_{k=1,\ldots,r}$ (resp. $\{h_l\}_{l=1,\ldots,s}$) be the basis of the A-module M (resp. N) and let $\{\varepsilon^k\}_{k=1,\ldots,r}$ (resp. $\{\eta^l\}_{l=1,\ldots,s}$) the corresponding dual basis.

Lemma 1. *The* graded transpose ${}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}T$ *of a matrix* $T = (T_{uv}) \in \mathsf{M}^{\widetilde{t}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{r};A)$ *(considering here its block form (7)) is given by*

$$({}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}T)_{vu} = (-1)^{\langle \gamma_u+\gamma_v,\widetilde{t}+\gamma_v\rangle}\ {}^{\mathrm{t}}T_{uv}.$$

where ${}^{\mathrm{t}}$ *is the classical transpose.*

Proof. From the definition (8) of t^*, we have that

$$\big(t^*(\eta^j),e_i\big) = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{t},\widetilde{h_j}\rangle}(\eta^j,t(e_i)) = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{t},\widetilde{h_j}\rangle}\sum_k (\eta^j,h_k)t^k_{\ i} = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{t},\widetilde{h_j}\rangle}t^j_{\ i}\ .$$

On the other hand, denoting $t^{*\,j}_{i}$ the (i,j)-entry of the matrix ${}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}T$ corresponding to the dual morphism t^*, we have

$$\big(t^*(\eta^j),e_i\big) = \sum_k (\varepsilon^k t^{*\,j}_{k},e_i) = \sum_k (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{t^{*\,j}_{k}},\widetilde{e}_i\rangle}(\varepsilon^k,e_i)t^{*\,j}_{k} = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{t^{*\,j}_{i}},\widetilde{e}_i\rangle}t^{*\,j}_{i}\ .$$

Thus, for all i, j,

$$t^{*\,j}_{i} = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{t^{*\,j}_{i}}, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle + \langle \widetilde{t}, \widetilde{h_j}\rangle} t^{j}_{\ i} \tag{9}$$

In particular, $\widetilde{t^{*\,j}_{i}} = \widetilde{t^{j}_{\ i}} = \widetilde{t} + \widetilde{e}_i + \widetilde{h_j}$ for all i, j, so that the transpose morphism t^* is also homogeneous of same degree $\widetilde{t}$. Hence, (9) rewrites as

$$t^{*\,j}_{i} = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{t} + \widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{h_j} + \widetilde{e}_i\rangle} t^{j}_{\ i}$$

and the result follows. □

In the super (i.e. $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded) case, the graded transpose coincide with the well-known super transpose.

2.3. **Graded Trace.** As in the classical context, for any A-module M there is a natural isomorphism of A-modules

$$M^* \otimes_A M \simeq \mathsf{End}(M)\,. \tag{10}$$

It is given by reading a tensor $\alpha \otimes m \in M^* \otimes_A M$ as the endomorphism

$$\alpha \otimes m \;:\; M \ni m' \;\mapsto\; (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{m}, \widetilde{m'}\rangle} \alpha(m')m \;\in M$$

In the case where M is free (of rank $\mathbf{r}$), this endomorphism is represented by a matrix $T = (t^{i}_{\ j}) \in \mathsf{M}(\mathbf{r}; A)$, where the (i, j)-th entry is

$$t^{i}_{\ j} = (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{m}, e_j\rangle + \langle \widetilde{\alpha_j}, \widetilde{e}_j + \widetilde{e}_i\rangle} \alpha_j m^i$$

The above isomorphism permits to define the *graded trace* of the matrix corresponding to the endomorphism $\alpha \otimes m$ as its contraction $\alpha(m)$ (as a $(1, 1)$-tensor).

Definition 1. The *graded trace* of an homogeneous matrix $T = (T_{uv}) \in \mathsf{M}^{\widetilde{t}}(\mathbf{r}; A)$ (considering here its block form (7)) is defined as

$$\Gamma\mathrm{tr}(T) := \sum_u (-1)^{\langle \gamma_u + \widetilde{t}, \gamma_u\rangle}\, \mathrm{tr}(T_{uu}) \tag{11}$$

where tr denotes the classic trace of a matrix.

It is proved in [COP11] that $\Gamma\mathrm{tr} : \mathsf{M}(\mathbf{r}; A) \to A$ *is the unique (up to multiplication by a scalar of degree* 0*) homomorphism of* A*-linear graded Lie algebras.*

2.4. **Graded Berezinian.** As above, M is a free A-module of rank $\mathbf{r}$, with A a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutative algebra. Fixing a standard basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ of M permits to represent degree-preserving automorphisms of M as invertible 0-degree matrices, the group of which we denote by $\mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A)$. In [COP11] we have introduced the notion of *Graded Berezinian* for this type of matrices. Let us recall the main result of [COP11]. *There is a unique group homomorphism*

$$\Gamma\mathrm{Ber} : \mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A) \to (A^0)^\times$$

such that:

1) *For every block-diagonal matrix* $X \in \mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A)$,

$$\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}(X) = \prod_{u=1}^{q} \det(X_{uu}) \cdot \prod_{u=q+1}^{N} \det^{-1}(X_{uu})\,.$$

2) *The image of any lower (resp., upper) block-unitriangular matrix in* $\mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A)$ *equals* $1 \in (A^0)^\times$.

Here, $(A^0)^\times$ denotes the invertible elements of the 0-degree part of A.

Note that, similarly to the classical Berezinian, $\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}$ is defined only for 0-degree invertible matrices. In the particular case where the module M is graded by the even part $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n_0$, the function $\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}$ is a polynomial. Moreover, if $A = \mathbb{H}$ then $\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}$ coincides with the classical Diedonné determinant (restricted to these type of quaternionic matrices), see [COP11].

3. Cohomological Definition of the Graded Berezinian

In this section, we define the Graded Berezinian module and explain its cohomological meaning. The construction is similar to that of [Man88]. We obtain the function $\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}$ from the action of the group of degree-preserving automorphisms.

3.1. **Graded Berezinian Module.** Given a free A-module M, the corresponding Graded Berezinian module $\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}(M)$ is the free A-module of total rank 1 built up from formal basis elements $\mathrm{B}(\{e_i\})$ for each standard basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ of M. The relation between these elements is as follows: if two standard basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ and $\{e'_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ are related by $e'_i = e_j\, x^j{}_i$, where $X = (x^k{}_l)_{k,l=1,\dots,r} \in \mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r};A)$ is the change of basis transformation matrix, the corresponding elements $\mathrm{B}(\{e_i\})$ and $\mathrm{B}(\{e'_i\})$ verify

$$\mathrm{B}(\{e'_i\}) = \mathrm{B}(\{e_i\})\,\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}(X). \tag{12}$$

This intuitive construction leads to the general definition: $\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}(M)$ is the free A-module of total rank 1 which is functorial with respect to $\mathbf{0}$-degree automorphisms of A-modules and coincides with $\mathrm{Det}(M)$ if M is concentrated in only one even degree.

The above description of the module $\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}(M)$ is quite abstract. In the text section, we will present an explicit cohomological construction of this module.

3.2. **Cohomological Construction.** As before, M is a free A-module, of rank $\mathbf{r}$. Consider the graded-commutative algebra $S^\bullet_A(\Pi M \oplus M^*)$. We will define a cochain complex $\big(S^\bullet_A(\Pi M \oplus\ M^*),\, d\big)$ with respect to a certain differential d. We will denote this complex by $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ for short.

$S^\bullet_A(\Pi M \oplus M^*)$ is the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-graded-commutative algebra of polynomials in the graded variables Πe_i and ε^i with coefficients in A. We define d to be left multiplication by the following element of $S^2_A(\Pi M \oplus M^*)$:

$$d = \sum_i \Pi e_i\, \varepsilon^i, \tag{13}$$

that we also denote by d, by abuse of notation. This choice of d is natural since $d|_M \equiv \Pi$.

Proposition 1. *The operator d is independent of the choice of the basis and $d^2 = 0$.*

Proof. Let us consider a transformation matrix $T = (t^i{}_j) \in \mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r};A)$ from the basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ to the basis $\{h_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ (both standard, $\widetilde{h}_i = \widetilde{e}_i$), i.e.

$$h_i = \sum_j e_j\, t^j{}_i\,.$$

The transformation matrix between the induced basis $\{\Pi e_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ and $\{\Pi h_i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ of ΠM (resp. between the dual basis $\{\varepsilon^i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ and $\{\eta^i\}_{i=1,\dots,r}$ of M^*) is then T (resp. ${}^{\Gamma t}(T^{-1})$), i.e.

$$\Pi h_i = \sum_j \Pi e_j t^j{}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^i = \sum_k \varepsilon^k (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_k + \widetilde{e}_i\,,\, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle}\, \mathfrak{t}^i{}_k \tag{14}$$

where $\mathfrak{t}^i{}_k$ denotes the (i,k)-th entry of T^{-1}.

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \Pi h_i\,\eta^i &= \sum_{i,j,k} \Pi e_j\, {t^j}_i\, \varepsilon^k (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_i+\widetilde{e}_k\,,\,\widetilde{e}_k\rangle} {\mathfrak{t}^i}_k \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \Pi e_j\, \varepsilon^k \left(\sum_i (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_i+\widetilde{e}_k\,,\,\widetilde{e}_k\rangle+\langle \widetilde{e}_j+\widetilde{e}_i\,,\,\widetilde{e}_k\rangle} {t^j}_i\, {\mathfrak{t}^i}_k\right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \Pi e_j\, \varepsilon^k (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_k\,,\,\widetilde{e}_k+\widetilde{e}_j\rangle} \left(\sum_i {t^j}_i\, {\mathfrak{t}^i}_k\right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \Pi e_j\, \varepsilon^k (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_k\,,\,\widetilde{e}_k+\widetilde{e}_j\rangle} \delta^j_k = \sum_j \Pi e_j\, \varepsilon^j\end{aligned}$$

so that d is well-defined.

The fact that d squares to zero is easily checked by direct computation, using the graded-commutativity. □

To lighten the notation, we will denote by x_i the even elements in $\{\Pi e_i\} \cup \{\varepsilon^i\}$ and by ξ_i the odd ones (up to sign). More precisely, denoting r' the total even rank (i.e. the number of basis elements of M which are even), we set

$$x_i := \begin{cases} \varepsilon^i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r' \\ \Pi e_i & \text{if } r'+1 \leq i \leq r \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_i := \begin{cases} \Pi e_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r' \\ -(-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_i,\pi\rangle}\varepsilon^i & \text{if } r'+1 \leq i \leq r \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

By construction, we still have that $\widetilde{\xi_i} = \widetilde{x}_i + \pi$ for all i.

With this notation, the differential d corresponds to left multiplication by $\sum_i \xi_i x_i$, and $S^\bullet_A(\Pi M \oplus M^*)$ is now viewed as the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-graded-commutative algebra $A[x,\xi]$ of polynomials in the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-graded variables x-s and ξ-s. Let us stress the fact that the product of polynomials is here the one which is naturally induced by the graded sign rule (2). The elements of the cochain complex $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$ at k-th level ($k \geq 0$) are defined as the polynomials in $A[x,\xi]$ with k-th total power degree in ξ.

The element $\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r$ is a cocycle. Indeed, we have that

$$d(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r) = \sum_i (-1)^{\left\langle \widetilde{\xi}_i,\, \widetilde{x}_i + \sum_{k<i} \widetilde{\xi}_k \right\rangle} x_i \xi_1 \cdots \xi_{i-1} \xi_i^2 \xi_{i+1} \cdots \xi_r = 0$$

since ξ_i are odd and squares to 0. Moreover, one obviously has $\mathcal{K}^k = 0$ for all $k > r$. This cocycle will hence play the analogous role of the classical "top element".

Proposition 2.

$$H^k(\mathcal{K}^\bullet) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if } k \neq r \\ [\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r] \cdot A & \textit{if } k = r \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us consider the operator $\rho = \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$, where $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$ are graded homogeneous partial derivations, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_j &= \delta^i_j \ , & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \xi_j &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} x_j &= 0 \ , & \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} \xi_j &= \delta^i_j . \end{aligned}$$

for all indices i, j. Note that the respective degrees are

$$\widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}} = \widetilde{x}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}} = \widetilde{\xi}_i = \widetilde{x}_i + \pi \ .$$

Let us compute $[\rho\ ,\, d]$ where $[\ .\ ,\ .\ ]$ is the $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutator (6).

$$\begin{aligned}
[\rho\,,\,d] &= \sum_{i\,,\,j}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}\,,\,\xi_j x_j\right]\\
&= \sum_{i\,,\,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}\,,\,\xi_j x_j\right] + \sum_{i\,,\,j}(-1)^{\langle \widetilde{x}_i+\pi\,,\,\pi\rangle}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\;\xi_j x_j\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}\\
&= \sum_{i\,,\,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}\,,\,\xi_j\right]x_j + \sum_{i\,,\,j}(-1)^{\langle \widetilde{x}_i+\pi\,,\,\widetilde{x}_j+\pi\rangle}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\xi_j\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}\,,\,x_j\right]\\
&\qquad -\sum_{i\,,\,j}(-1)^{\langle \widetilde{x}_i\,,\,\pi\rangle}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\,,\,\xi_j\right]x_j\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} - \sum_{i\,,\,j}(-1)^{\langle \widetilde{x}_i\,,\,\pi\rangle+\langle \widetilde{x}_i\,,\,\widetilde{x}_j+\pi\rangle}\xi_j\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\,,\,x_j\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}
\end{aligned}$$

But, by construction,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\,,\,x_j\right] &= \delta^i_j\ , &\qquad \left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\,,\,\xi_j\right] &= 0\,,\\
\left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}\,,\,x_j\right] &= 0\ , &\qquad \left[\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}\,,\,\xi_j\right] &= \delta^i_j\,,
\end{aligned}$$

so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\rho\,,\,d] &= \sum_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}x_i - \sum_i(-1)^{\langle \widetilde{x}_i\,,\,\widetilde{x}_i\rangle}\xi_i\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}\\
&= \sum_i\left(\mathrm{id} + (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{x}_i\,,\,\widetilde{x}_i\rangle}x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) - \sum_i(-1)^{\langle \widetilde{x}_i\,,\,\widetilde{x}_i\rangle}\xi_i\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}\\
&= r\,\mathrm{id} + \sum_i x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \sum_i \xi_i\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}
\end{aligned}$$

since each x_i have even degree , i.e. $\langle \widetilde{x}_i\,,\,\widetilde{x}_i\rangle = 0$ for all i.

Now, if P is a homogeneous monomial in $\mathcal{K}^k$, i.e.

$$P = \xi^\alpha x^\beta a_{\alpha\beta}$$

with $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^r$ such that $|\alpha| := \sum_i \alpha_i = k$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and $a_{\alpha\beta}$ a homogeneous element of A , we have for every i that

$$x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(P) = \begin{cases}\beta_i\,P & \text{if } \beta_i \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } \beta_i = 0\end{cases}$$

and

$$\xi_i\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}(P) = \begin{cases}P & \text{if } \alpha_i = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha_i = 0\end{cases}$$

so that

$$[\rho\,,\,d]\,(P) = (r + |\beta| - k)\ \mathrm{id}(P)\ .$$

In fact, we only have to consider $0 \le k \le r$. Hence, $c := r + |\beta| - k$ is zero if and only if $k = r$ and $\beta = 0$. It follows that, for $k \neq r$, we have a cochain homotopy between the identity id and the zero map. It is given by ρ/c on monomials of the same form of P. We conclude that $H^k(\mathcal{K}^\bullet) = 0$ for all $k \neq r$.

It remains to consider the case when $k = r$. By definition,

$$H^r(\mathcal{K}^\bullet) = \ker(d : \mathcal{K}^r \to \mathcal{K}^{r+1})/\mathrm{im}(d : \mathcal{K}^{r-1} \to \mathcal{K}^r)$$

where $\mathcal{K}^r = \{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r\, Q \mid Q \in A\,[x]\}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{r+1} = 0$. Hence, $\ker(d : \mathcal{K}^r \to \mathcal{K}^{r+1}) = \mathcal{K}^r$. On the other hand, by direct computation (e.g. apply d on an element of the form $\xi_{\alpha_1} \cdots \xi_{\alpha_{r-1}}\, Q_\alpha$ with

$Q_\alpha \in A[x]$ a homogenous monomial), we obtain $\mathrm{im}(d : \mathcal{K}^{r-1} \to \mathcal{K}^r) = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_r \left(\sum_i A\,[x] \cdot x_i\right)$. In conclusion,

$$H^r(\mathcal{K}^\bullet) = \;\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r \cdot A\,[x] \,/_{\textstyle \xi_1 \cdots \xi_r (\sum_i A\,[x] \cdot x_i)} \simeq\; \xi_1 \cdots \xi_r \cdot A\,.$$

□

Remark 2. a) Proposition 2 implies that $H(\mathcal{K}^\bullet) = H^r(\mathcal{K}^\bullet) \simeq [\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r] \cdot A$, hence is a free A-module of total rank 1. The degree of the basis element is

$$\widetilde{\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r} = \sum_{i=1}^{q} (\gamma_i + \pi) r_i + \sum_{i=q+1}^{N} \gamma_i r_i = r'\pi + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i r_i\,.$$

b) The result is independent of the chosen parity functor Π in the cochain complex $\mathcal{K}^\bullet$.

3.3. **Main Result.** Let M be a free module over a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$-commutative algebra A, of rank $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \cdots, r_N)$, $N := 2^n$. A degree-preserving automorphism of M, $\varphi \in \mathsf{Aut}^0(M)$, naturally induces two automorphisms

$$\varphi^\Pi : \Pi M \to \Pi M \quad \text{ and } \quad (\varphi^{-1})^* : M^* \to M^*\,,$$

and hence an automorphism (of A-algebras)

$$\phi \;:\; S^\bullet_A(\Pi M \oplus M^*) \;\;\to\;\; S^\bullet_A(\Pi M \oplus M^*)\,.$$

on the "total space" $\mathcal{K} := \bigoplus_k \mathcal{K}^k = S^\bullet_A(\Pi M \oplus M^*)$ of the corresponding complex. Explicitly, it is given by

$$\phi(x_i) = \begin{cases} (\varphi^*)^{-1}(x_i) & 1 \le i \le r' \\ \varphi^\Pi(x_i) & r' < i \le r \end{cases} \quad \text{ and } \quad \phi(\xi_i) = \begin{cases} \varphi^\Pi(\xi_i) & 1 \le i \le r' \\ (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\xi_i) & r' < i \le r \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

where r' denotes, as in the previous section, the total even rank, i.e. $r' := \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} r_i$. The differential $d : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ is invariant under this transformation (see Proposition 1). Hence, we obtain an automorphism on $\ker d/_{\mathrm{im}\, d}$. This latter module, is equal to

$$\ker d/_{\mathrm{im}\, d} = \Big(\bigoplus_k \ker d|_{\mathcal{K}^k}\Big)/\Big(\bigoplus_k \mathrm{im}\, d|_{\mathcal{K}^{k-1}}\Big) = \bigoplus_k \Big(\ker d|_{\mathcal{K}^k}/\mathrm{im}\, d|_{\mathcal{K}^{k-1}}\Big) = \bigoplus_k H^k(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)$$

and hence is just $H^r(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)$, thanks to Proposition 2.

By means of a graded matrix $T \in \mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A)$ representing φ, this map ϕ rewrites as a group action of $\mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A)$ on $H^r(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)$. In other words, we have a group morphism

$$\Phi : \mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A) \to \mathsf{Aut}^0\left(H^r(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)\right) \simeq (A^0)^\times \tag{17}$$

given by

$$\Phi(T)\left([\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r]\right) = [\phi(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r)]\,.$$

We will now prove that this morphism coincide with the Graded Berezinian.

Proposition 3. *For all $T \in \mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A)$, $\Phi(T)$ is the operator of right multiplication by $\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}(T)$.*

Proof. We will first explicit in detail the proof for the super case (i.e. with grading group $\mathbb{Z}_2$), following the description given in [Man88]. Hence, in this case the graded Berezinian and the graded trace reduce to the classical Berezinian and the supertrace.

Let us consider two particular types of transformations φ.

1) Let φ be a diagonal transformation, i.e. the corresponding graded matrix is block-diagonal.

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{GL}^0\left(\mathbf{r} = (r', r''); A\right)$$

The matrix corresponding to the inverse dual is also block-diagonal

$$^{st}(T^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} {}^{t}A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & {}^{t}B^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A)$$

Let us denote $a^{i}{}_{j}$ the entries of A and $\mathfrak{b}_{j}{}^{i}$ the entries of ${}^{t}B^{-1}$.

We have that

$$\phi(\xi_i) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{\Pi}(\xi_i) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r'} \xi_j a^{j}{}_{i} & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r' \\ (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\xi_i) = \sum_{r' < j \leq r} \xi_j \mathfrak{b}_{j-r'}{}^{i-r'} & \text{for } r' < i \leq r \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r) &= \varphi^{\Pi}(\xi_1) \cdots \varphi^{\Pi}(\xi_{r'}) \cdot (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\xi_{r'+1}) \cdots (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\xi_r) \\ &= \xi_1 \cdots \xi_r \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{r'}} \operatorname{sign} \sigma \; a^{\sigma(1)}{}_{1} \cdots a^{\sigma(r')}{}_{r'} \right) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{r''}} \operatorname{sign} \sigma \; \mathfrak{b}_{\sigma(1)}{}^{1} \cdots \mathfrak{b}_{\sigma(r'')}{}^{r''} \right) \\ &= \xi_1 \cdots \xi_r \; \det(A) \det(B^{-1}) \\ &= \xi_1 \cdots \xi_r \; \operatorname{Ber}(T) \,, \end{aligned}$$

Here, signs appears because the elements of the subset $\{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r'}$ (respectively, $\{\xi_i\}_{r' < i \leq r}$) are of the same odd degree, hence anticommute.

2) Let φ be a unitriangular transformation, i.e. the corresponding graded matrix is block-unitriangular. We will consider only the case of an upper unitriangular matrix, the case of a lower unitriangular matrix being similar. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & C \\ 0 & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A).$$

Then the corresponding dual inverse is also block-unitriangular, more precisely

$$^{st}(T^{-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ {}^{t}C & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} .$$

We have in this case

$$\phi(\xi_i) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{\Pi}(\xi_i) = \xi_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r' \\ (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\xi_i) = \xi_i & \text{for } r' < i \leq r \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\phi(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r) = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_r = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_r \; \operatorname{Ber}(T).$$

Hence, we have proved that Φ coincide with left multiplication by Ber on block diagonal and block unitriangular matrices. By the uniqueness result concerning the Berezinian, this suffices to conclude.

This strategy of proof generalizes to the case of grading group $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ for higher $n \in \mathbb{N}$, thanks to the analogous uniqueness result of the graded Berezinian (see section 2.4). We hence only have to verify 1. and 2. in this more general case.

Let M be, as usual, a free module of rank $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_N)$. The odd elements ξ_i are by construction ordered by degree, so that in each subset $\{\xi_i\}_{\sum_{\alpha<\gamma} r_\alpha < i \leq \sum_{\alpha \leq \gamma} r_\alpha}$ the elements are of the same odd degree, hence they anticommute. This implies that in the first step the expected signs (and hence the determinants) appears, as in the super case. In the second step, by definition of the graded transpose (see section 2), we still have that if T is a block upper (resp. lower) unitriangular matrix then ${}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}(T^{-1})$ is lower (resp. upper) block unitriangular. Let us consider, for simplicity, $n = 2$ and $\mathbf{r} = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. We then have only four odd ξ_i, of two different degrees $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$. For a graded matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & \star & \star \\ & 1 & \star & \star \\ & & 1 & b \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{GL}^0\left((1,1,1,1); A\right)$$

we then have

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} \varphi^{\Pi}(\xi_1) & = & \xi_1 \,, & \qquad & (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\xi_3) & = & \xi_3 + \xi_4 b \,, \\ \varphi^{\Pi}(\xi_2) & = & \xi_1 a + \xi_2 \,, & \qquad & (\varphi^*)^{-1}(\xi_4) & = & \xi_4 \,, \end{array}$$

so that

$$\phi(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_4) = \xi_1(\xi_1 a + \xi_2)(\xi_3 + b\xi_4)\xi_4 = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_4$$

since the ξ_i-s square to zero. This clearly generalize to arbitrary $\mathbf{r}$ and arbitrary n. □

We summarize the above statements as follows.

Theorem 1. *The map*

$$\begin{array}{rrcl} \psi : & \Gamma\mathrm{Ber}(M) & \to & H(\mathcal{K}^\bullet) \\ & \mathrm{B}(\{e_i\}) & \mapsto & [\xi_1 \cdots \xi_r] \end{array}$$

is an A-module isomorphism of degree $r'\pi$.

The degree of the isomorphism ψ can be easily understood. Indeed, the A-module $\Gamma\mathrm{Ber}(M)$ is then either purely even or purely odd, and this depends only on the parity of $\sum_{i=r'+1}^{N} \gamma_i r_i$. This corresponds, when $n = 1$, exactly to the well-known situation of the classical Berezinian.

4. COHOMOLOGICAL DEFINITION OF THE GRADED TRACE

As we have seen in the previous section, the assignment $\mathsf{GL}^0(\mathbf{r}; A) \ni T \mapsto \phi_T \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathcal{K})$, where ϕ_T is an automorphism of A-algebra corresponding to matrix multiplication by T on ΠM and by ${}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}T^{-1}$ on M^*, defines a group action for which the differential d is invariant. It induces a group morphism (17) which coincides with right multiplication by ΓBer.

In this section, we consider the action of the graded Lie algebra of infinitesimal automorphisms on the complex $\mathcal{K}$. We obtain the graded trace from the action on $\mathrm{Ber}(M)$.

4.1. General Construction of the Action.

Consider, as before, the graded-commutative algebra $\mathcal{K} = S^\bullet_A(\Pi M \oplus M^*)$, where M is a free A-module of rank $\mathbf{r}$ over a graded-commutative algebra A. To any homogeneous square matrix $S \in \mathsf{M}(\mathbf{r}; A)$ of degree $\widetilde{S}$ we can associate a graded derivation of the same degree $L_S \in \mathrm{Der}^{\widetilde{S}}(\mathcal{K})$. L_S is given by matrix multiplication by S on M and by matrix multiplication by $-{}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}S$ on M^*. Note that since $\mathcal{K}$ has also an A-module structure, it is natural to restrict ourselves only to derivations that are also A-module morphisms, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Der}_A(\mathcal{K}) := \{D \in \mathrm{Der}\,(\mathcal{K}) \ : \ D(a) = 0, \ \forall a \in A\} \subset \mathsf{End}_A(\mathcal{K}) \,.$$

Hence, more explicitly, we have an assignment

$$L : \mathsf{M}(\mathbf{r}; A) \ni S \mapsto L_S \in \mathrm{Der}_A\,(\mathcal{K})$$

where, for any homogeneous matrix S, $L_S \in \mathsf{End}_A(\mathcal{K})$ is given on generating elements by

$$\text{and} \quad \begin{array}{rcl} L_S(\Pi e_i) & = & \sum_k \Pi e_k \, {s^k}_i \\ L_S(\varepsilon^i) & = & -\sum_k \varepsilon^k (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_i + \widetilde{e}_k, \widetilde{S} + \widetilde{e}_k \rangle} {s^i}_k = -(-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{S} \rangle} \sum_k {s^i}_k \, \varepsilon^k \end{array} \tag{18}$$

and extends to arbitrary elements by the graded Leibniz rule

$$L_S(ab) = L_S(a)\, b + (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{a} \rangle} a\, L_S(b) \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{K}\,, \tag{19}$$

In fact, L is a graded Lie algebra morphism of degree 0. Indeed, we see that the equality

$$[L_S, L_T] = L_{[S,T]} \tag{20}$$

holds on M by construction, and on M^* it follows from the following Lemma, which is proven by straightforward computations.

Lemma 2. *For any pair of homogeneous matrices $S, T \in \mathsf{M}(\mathbf{r}; A)$ we have that*

$$[{}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}S, {}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}T] = -\,{}^{\Gamma\mathrm{t}}[S, T]\ .$$

4.2. **Deducing the Graded Trace.** The second main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 2. *Given an even matrix $S \in \mathsf{M}_0(\mathbf{r}; A)$, its action (by derivation) on the cohomology $H(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)$ is well-defined provided one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

1) $S \in \mathsf{M}^0(\mathbf{r}; A)$ and the parity of Π is an arbitrary odd element $\pi \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n_1$;

2) S is an arbitrary even matrix, n is an odd integer and

$$\pi = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n_1. \tag{21}$$

In both cases, the action of S coincides with the operator of left multiplication by $\Gamma\mathrm{tr}(S)$.

To prove the theorem, let us first determine the condition sufficient for invariance of the differential $d : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ under the action $L :\ S \mapsto L_S$ defined by (18).

Lemma 3. *If one of the above conditions 1 or 2 is satisfied, then*

$$[L_S, d] = 0.$$

Proof. By definition, d is seen as left multiplication by $\sum_i \Pi e_i\, \varepsilon^i$. Hence, $[L_S, d] = 0$ is equivalent to $L(\sum_i \Pi e_i\, \varepsilon^i) = 0$. We then have using (18),

$$\begin{aligned} L_S\left(\sum_i \Pi e_i\, \varepsilon^i\right) &= \sum_i \Big(L_S(\Pi e_i)\, \varepsilon^i + (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{e}_i + \pi \rangle} \Pi e_i\, L_S(\varepsilon^i) \Big) \\ &= \sum_{i,k} \Pi e_k\, s^k{}_i\, \varepsilon^i - (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \pi \rangle} \sum_{i,k} \Pi e_i\, s^i{}_k \varepsilon^k \\ &= \sum_{u,v} \Pi e_u\, s^u{}_v\, \varepsilon^v \Big(1 - (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \pi \rangle} \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

which is equal to zero if and only if

$$\langle \widetilde{S}, \pi \rangle = 0\ . \tag{23}$$

In particular this holds whenever $\widetilde{S} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ (then π is arbitrary). On the other hand, assume that n is an odd integer and $\pi = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$. The equality (23) then holds for every $S \in \mathsf{M}_0(\mathbf{r}; A)$, i.e. for homogeneous matrices of even (not necessarily zero) degree. □

Consequently, in the two cases of the above proposition, L induces an action (respectively denoted by $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{L}$) on the cohomology $H(\mathcal{K}^\bullet) = H^r(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)$. In other words, we have in the first case an algebra morphism

$$\mathcal{L}^{(0)} : \mathsf{M}^0(\mathbf{r}; A) \to \mathsf{End}^0\left(H^r(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)\right) \simeq A^0 \tag{24}$$

and in the second case (i.e. when n is odd) an algebra morphism

$$\mathcal{L} : \mathsf{M}_0(\mathbf{r}; A) \to \mathsf{End}_0\left(H^r(\mathcal{K}^\bullet)\right) \simeq A_0\ , \tag{25}$$

both given by

$$L_S\left([\xi_1\cdots\xi_r]\right) := \left[L_S\left(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r\right)\right] .$$

Here, we pass to the (x,ξ)'s notation as explained by (15). In this notation, (18) gives in particular

$$L_S(\xi_i) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{r'} \xi_k {s^k}_i + \sum_{k=r'+1}^{r} x_k {s^k}_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r' \\ (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}+\pi, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{r'} {s^k}_i x_k - \sum_{k=r'+1}^{r} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_k, \pi\rangle} {s^k}_i \xi_k \right) & \text{if } r' < i \leq r \end{cases}$$

so that one has explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} L_S\left([\xi_1\cdots\xi_r]\right) &= \left[L_S\left(\xi_1\cdots\xi_r\right)\right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \sum_{j<i}\widetilde{\xi}_j\rangle} \xi_1\cdots\xi_{i-1} L_S(\xi_i) \xi_{i+1}\cdots\xi_r\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r'}\sum_{k=1}^{r'} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \sum_{j<i}\widetilde{\xi}_j\rangle} [\xi_1\cdots\xi_{i-1}\xi_k {s^k}_i \xi_{i+1}\cdots\xi_r] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{r'}\sum_{k=r'+1}^{r} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \sum_{j<i}\widetilde{\xi}_j\rangle} [\xi_1\cdots\xi_{i-1} x_k {s^k}_i \xi_{i+1}\cdots\xi_r] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=r'+1}^{r}\sum_{k=1}^{r'} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \sum_{j<i}\widetilde{\xi}_j\rangle + \langle \widetilde{S}+\pi, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle} \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_{i-1} {s^i}_k x_k \xi_{i+1}\cdots\xi_r\right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=r'+1}^{r}\sum_{k=r'+1}^{r} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \sum_{j<i}\widetilde{\xi}_j\rangle + \langle \widetilde{S}+\pi, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle + \langle \widetilde{e}_k, \pi\rangle} \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_{i-1} {s^i}_k \xi_k \xi_{i+1}\cdots\xi_r\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r'} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \sum_{j<i}\widetilde{\xi}_j\rangle} \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_{i-1}\xi_i {s^i}_i \xi_{i+1}\cdots\xi_r\right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=r'+1}^{r} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \sum_{j<i}\widetilde{\xi}_j\rangle + \langle \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle} \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_{i-1} {s^i}_i \xi_i \xi_{i+1}\cdots\xi_r\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r'} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{\xi}_i\rangle} {s^i}_i \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_r\right] - \sum_{i=r'+1}^{r} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle} {s^i}_i \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_r\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r'} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{e}_i+\pi\rangle + \langle \widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle} {s^i}_i \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_r\right] + \sum_{i=r'+1}^{r} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle + \langle \widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle} {s^i}_i \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_r\right] \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if we are in the case 1 or 2 described in Theorem 2, this rewrites as

$$L_S\left([\xi_1\cdots\xi_r]\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^{\langle \widetilde{e}_i + \widetilde{S}, \widetilde{e}_i\rangle} {s^i}_i\right) \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_r\right] = \Gamma\mathrm{tr}(S) \left[\xi_1\cdots\xi_r\right] .$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

REFERENCES

[AM99] H. Albuquerque and S. Majid, *Quasialgebra structure of the octonions*, J. Algebra **220** (1999), 188–224.

[AM02] H. Albuquerque and S. Majid, *Clifford algebras obtained by twisting of group algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **171** (2002), 133–148.

[BLS] J. Bernstein, D. Leites and V. Shander, *Supersymmetries – Algebra and Calculus*, preprint of the english version.

[COP11] T. Covolo, V. Ovsienko and N. Poncin, *Higher Trace and Berezinian of Matrices over a Clifford Algebra*, J. Geom. Phys. **62** (2012), no.11, 2294–2319.

[Kna06] A. W. Knapp, *Basic algebra, Volume 1*, Springer, 2006.

[Man88] Yu. Manin, *Gauge field theory and complex geometry*, 2nd ed., Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[MGO09] S. Morier-Genoud, V. Ovsienko, *Well, Papa, can you multiply triplets?*, Math. Intell. **31** (2009), no.4, 1–2.

[MGO10] S. Morier-Genoud, V. Ovsienko, *Simple graded commutative algebras*, J. Alg. **323** (2010), no.6, 1649–1664.

[OP84] O. V. Ogievetskii, I. B. Penkov, *Serre duality for projective supermanifolds*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **18** (1984), no.1, 68–70 (translated from Russian).

[Sch79] M. Scheunert, *Generalized Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979), no.4, 712–720.

TIFFANY COVOLO, UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG, UR EN MATHÉMATIQUES, 6, RUE RICHARD COUDENHOVE-KALERGI, L-1359 LUXEMBOURG, GRAND-DUCHÉ DE LUXEMBOURG

E-mail address: `tiffany.covolo@uni.lu`